

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,557	08/25/2000	Christian Devaux	1017753-000152	5736
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 09/13/2007 INGERSOLL & ROON	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	E BOX 1404	PARKIN, JEFFREY S		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
				···
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/648,557	DEVAUX ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648		

	comey of anim, i me.	1.0.0
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 May, 2007,</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment stice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	, affidavit, or other evidence, which in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>06</u> months from the mailing da		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFF tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 21 May 2007. A brief of date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.	any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see ow);	NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		A. C. J. C. A
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separa	ate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,18 and 31-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-17 and 19-30.	vided below or appended.	will be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	er entry is below or attached.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		\mathcal{O}
		Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1648

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/648,557

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim amendments will necessitate further searching and/or consideration. In addition the proposed claim amendment introduces new claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' arguments are directed toward the claim amendments, which have not been entered, and are therefore moot. The claims stand rejected for the reasons of record clearly set forth in the last office action.

Continuation of 13. Other: It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims. Failure to properly reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection results in abandonment. A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 is limited to: (A) an amendment complying with 37 CFR 1.116; (B) a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee); or (C) a request for continued examination (RCE) filed under 37 CFR 1.114 with a submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply requirement of 37 CFR 1.111) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e). RCE practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to utility or plant patent applications filed before June 8, 1995 and design applications.